And How Do They Change How Are They Calculated, Municipal Tax Rates:

NH Department of Revenue Administration Municipal and Property Division Stephan W. Hamilton, Director October 23, 2015

Presentation Goals

- calculated for each community Promote understanding of how local tax rates are
- Understand basic formula of tax rate calculation
- Review the source of information for each calculation
- a city or town, including: Identify the elements that compose a local tax rate for
- Local municipal tax rate
- County tax rate
- Local school tax rate
- State education tax rate
- Review how changes in total tax commitments and market value can affect the calculation of the rate

Setting of Tax Rates by Commissioner

RSA 21-J:3,

XV. Establish and approve tax rates as required by law.

RSA 21-J:35,

 The commissioner of revenue administration each town, city, or unincorporated place shall compute and establish the tax rate of

Establish 1,150 Property Tax Rates

- 259 Municipal Rates
- 259 School Rates
- 259 State Education Rates
- 259 County Rates
- 114 Village Districts Rates
- Total of 1,150 Individual Rates
- Accuracy Rate: 99.91%
- Review 6,500 Tax Rate Documents

Basic Process

- The tax rate for each community is constructed from locally derived financial data
- *minimum of four components: Each city or town's rate is composed of a
- Local Municipal Rate
- County Tax Rate
- Local School Rate
- Statewide Education Tax Rate

Basic Process (Cont.)

- town, there may be more components: Depending on the complexity of the city or
- Cooperative School Districts
- Village Districts
- Three basic elements for each component: Total gross appropriation
- Estimated revenues
- Total locally assessed values

Simple Formula!

(Appropriations – Estimated Revenues)

Total Locally Assessed Values



New Hampshire
Department of
Revenue Administration

2015

Tax Rate Breakdown \$24.16

Jurisdiction Municipal Tax Rate Calculation **Plaistow** Tax Effort \$21,481,853 \$13,038,621 \$2,150,840 \$5,327,312 \$957,080 Valuation 5891,581,427 s867,965,437 s891,581,427 s891,561,427

Tax Rate

\$24.16

\$14.62 \$2.49

\$1.07 \$5.98

Total

State Education Local Education County Municipal

Village Tax Rate Calculation	lation		
Jurisdiction	Tax Effort	Valuation	Tax Rate
Total	\$0		\$0.00
Tax Commitment Calculation	lation		
Total Municipal Tax Effort			\$21,481,853
War Service Credits			(\$168,083)
Village District Tax Effort			\$0
Total Property Tax Commitment			\$21,313,770

Stephan Hamilton
Director of Municipal and Property Division
New Hampshire Department of Revenue Administration

10/28/2015

Calculating Municipal Rate

per \$1000/value

less Revenues (Not Including Fund Balance) less Fund Balance to Reduce Taxes less Fund Balance Voted plus War Service Credits **Gross Appropriations** *plus* Overlay divided by

2015 Locally Assessed Property Valuation = Municipal Tax Rate per 1,000 (per line 21 of 2015 MS-1) x 1,000

Municipal Tax Rate

/		
12	\$5,327,312	Net Required Local Tax Effort
	\$146,673	Actual Overlay Used
	\$0	Special Adjustment
	\$168,083	War Service Credits
(\$550,000)		Fund Balance to Reduce Taxes
(\$15,000)		Fund Balance Voted Surplus
(\$3,315,494)		Net Revenues (Not Including Fund Balance)
	\$8,893,050	Total Appropriation
Revenue	Appropriation	Description
		Municipal Accounting Overview

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O		
\$826,386,047	\$807,933,437	Total Assessment Valuation without Utilities
\$849,982,037	\$891,581,427	Total Assessment Valuation with Utilities
Prior Year	Current Year	Description
		Municipal (MS-1)

Valuation

County Rate

County Net Appropriation

x town's 2014 equalized value as % of total county

= Town's County Assessment

divided by

2015 Locally Assessed Property Valuation

(Per line 21 of the 2015 MS-1) x 1000

= County Tax Rate per 1,000

County Apportionment

ROCKINGHAM COUNTY	County Tax*	of County Budget
ATKINSON	1.9862%	913,930
AUBURN	1.5443%	710,576
	1 10700/	FOR DAR

				,									厂	_
TOTALS	* Rounded for display. Actual apportionment based on detailed figures	WINDHAM	STRATHAM	SOUTH HAMPTON	SEABROOK	SANDOWN	SALEM	RYE	RAYMOND	1.01/1.01/100111		PLAISTOW	NOTTINGHAM	NOKIHVVOOU
100.0000%	detailed figures.	5.1508%	2.8006%	0.2983%	5.8334%	1.2667%	9.9720%	4.5085%	1.9008%	, 1.±ΩΩ1, 1	3.4.00.4.70.	2.0800%	1 2763%	1.1UU3%
46,013,873		2,370,078	1,288,666	137,278	2,684,150	582,859	4,588,487	2,074,543	874,654	1,100,011	7 400 7 64	957,080	587 256	505,383

County Tax Rate

Net Required County Tax Effort	Net County Apportionment	Description	County Apportionment
\$957,080	\$957.080	Appropriation Revenue	

	\$825,386,047	7	\$807,903,437	Total Assessment Valuation without Utilities
41	\$849,982,037	Ü	\$891,581,427	Total Assessment Valuation with Utilities
	Prior Year		Current Year	Description
				Municipal (MS-1)
				Valuation
	,			
_				

Local Education Rate

per \$1000/value

Net School Appropriations

Less State Grants and State Education Tax

divided by

2015 Locally Assessed Property Valuation (per line 21 of 2015 MS-1) x 1,000

= Local Education Tax Rate per 1,000

Locally Apportioned Education Rate

per \$1000/value

Less State Grants and State Education Tax Regional School Apportionment divided by

2015 Locally Assessed Property Valuation (per line 21 of 2015 MS-1) x 1,000

= Local Education Tax Rate per 1,000

Local Education Rate

Education Net Local School Appropriations Description Appropriation Revenue Net Cooperative School Appropriations \$17,698,166 \$2,500,705 Net Education Grant \$2,500,705 \$2,158,840 Locally Retained State Education Tax \$13,038,621 \$13,038,621			
Education Appropriation Re stons Appropriation Se \$17,698,166	8,621	\$13,038	Net Required Local Education Tax Effort
Education Appropriation Re stions \$17,698,166	(\$2,158,840)		Locally Retained State Education Tax
Education Appropriation ations Appropriation \$0 \$17,598,166	(\$2,500,705)		Net Education Grant
Education Description Appropriation		\$17,698,166	Net Cooperative School Appropriations
Education Appropriation		\$0	Net Local School Appropriations
Education	Revenue	Appropriation	Description
	1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Education

CERTAIN TO THE PROPERTY OF THE		
\$826,386,047	\$807,985,437	Total Assessment Valuation without Utilities
\$849,982,037	\$891,581,427	Total Assessment Valuation with Utilities
Prior Year	Current Year	Description
		Municipal (MS-1)

Valuation

State Education Rate

State Education Amount

rate producing \$363 million = \$2.420

\$2.420 x Town's 2013 Equalized Value w/out Utilities (Prior Year)

Divided by

2015 Locally Assessed Property Valuation

Without utilities (Current Year) (Per line 23 of 2015 MS-1) x 1000

= State Education Rate per 1,000

State Education Rate

EDUCATION TAX WARRANT FOR TAX YEAR 2015

December 11, 2014

To the Selectmen or Assessors of PLAISTOW

in accordance with the provisic required to assess the sum of Tax. Per NSA 76:3, this amon \$7.42/1,000 of sta the amount of 5892,082,439. ##11 oqualized valuation without utilities in \$2,158,840 ()r the 2015 Education on a uniform rate of

Scephan W. Hamilton

Director Municipal & Property Division

assement state education rate in the fall of 2015. Note: This warrant amount will be used to calculate your tecally

State Education Rate

	EQUALIZAT	EQUALIZATION SURVEY Not Including UTILITIES AND RAILROAD	lot Including U	TILITIES AND	RAILROAD		
MUNICIPALITY	MODIFIED LOCAL ASSESSED VALUATION	DRA INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT	EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUATION	EQUALIZED PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES *	TOTAL EQUALIZED VALUATION Not Including UTILITIES and RAILROADS	2013 EQ RATIO	% PROPORTION TO STATE TAX
NEWTON	464,332,793	-42,220,399	422,112,394	0	422,112,394	110.0	0.2813%
NORTH HAMPTON	996,205,600	28,689,834	1,024,895,434	0	1,024,895,434	97.2	0.6830%
NORTHFIELD	271,325,605	-4.219,526	267,106,079	2,345.816	269,451.895	101.6	0.1796%
***************************************	୫1 ୫୫୫ ୫୫३	-3 040 067	78 846 FOF	212 303	79 058 899	103.0	0 0527%
PITTSFIELD	260,308,181	-42,488,055	217,820,126	266,434	218,086,560	119.5	0.1453%
PLAINFIELD	259,630,611	5,516,290	265,146,901	38,503	265,185,405	97.9	0.1767%
PLAISTOW	818,936,660	73,145,779	892,082,439	0	892,082,439	91.8	0.5945%
РГАМОПТН	402,357,950	401,824	402,759,774	4,374,120	407,133,895	99.9	0.2713%
PORTSMOUTH	3,939,969,280	347,262,989	4,287,232,269	45,143,959	4,332,376,228	91.9	2.8872%
מעווטטו פה	63.316.739	-1 35R 427	81 988 308 I	173 274	62 131 579	1022	0 0414%

State Tax Rate

(\$2,158,840)	Net Required State Education Tax Effort
ķΩ	State Education Tax Not Retained
\$2,158,840	State Education Tax

salamen kalabahan bebah estimbilan dan bebahan bilan b	consideration in representative about a conflict of the Endownhill Consideration of the conflict of the confli	
\$826,386,047	\$867,985,437	Total Assessment Valuation without Utilities
\$849,982,037	\$891,581,427	Total Assessment Valuation with Utilities
Prior Year	Current Year	Description
		Municipal (MS-1)
		Valuation

State Tax Rate

- Wide Education Tax: Important facts to remember about State
- The money is raised locally
- The money is retained locally to offset education costs
- It becomes a revenue to local education effort

1	\$13,038,621	\$13,00	Net Required Local Education Tax Effort
	(\$2,158,840)	S-	Locally Retained State Education Tax
	(3 02,308,24)		Net Education Grant
		\$17,698,166	Net Cooperative School Appropriations
		\$0	Net Local School Appropriations
	Revenue	Appropriation	Description
			Education

Changing Tax Rates

- There are many factors contribute to the calculation of local tax rates
- Many of these factors change from year to year, some can and do have impact on rates
- One of the factors having a impact is the locally assessed valuation of property
- In many cases local appropriation may remain changes in the calculate tax rate relatively unchanged, yet there are still

Changing Tax Rates

- can produce changes in tax rates other cities and towns in shared tax districts There are times when the relative value of
- Sometimes changes in cooperative school apportionments can drive tax rate changes
- increased net appropriations Increasing expenditures usually lead to

Questions?